Application No. Applicant(s) 09/893,903 IRIE ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** Mehmet B. Geckil 2142 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Mehmet B. Geckil. (2) Paul Kravetz. Date of Interview: 13 May 2005. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 10. Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. h N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner called the attorney to indicate that claims 1-12 were allowable except that the claim 10 needs to be changed from "recording medium" to "tangible computer-readable medium" in order to fix the 101 problem. Attorney authorized examiner to amend the claim 10 with the phrase "tangible computer-readable medium". (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. MEHMET B. GECKIL PRIMARY EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required